	Case 4:07-cv-02853-SBA	Document 44	Filed 08/13/2007	Page 1 of 3		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Terrence R. McInnis (#15541 (tmcinnis@rdblaw.com) Siavash Daniel Rashtian (#22 (drashtian@rdblaw.com) ROSS, DIXON & BELL, LLI 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1200 Irvine, California 92614-8529 Telephone: (949) 622-2700 Facsimile: (949) 622-2739  Monique M. Fuentes (#20550 (mfuentes@rdblaw.com) ROSS, DIXON & BELL, LLI 2001 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1040 Telephone: (202) 662-2000 Facsimile: (202) 662-2190	8644) P 9				
10 11	Attorneys for Defendant Executive Risk Specialty Insurance Company					
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
14						
15	LENSCRAFTERS, INC., and CALIFORNIA, INC.,	EYEXAM OF	No. C07-02853 SI	BA		
16	Plaintiffs,		[Related Case No.	C 04-01001 SBA]		
17 18 19 20 21 22	v.  LIBERTY MUTUAL FIRE II COMPANY; EXECUTIVE R INSURANCE COMPANY; UFIRE INSURANCE COMPA AMERICAN INSURANCE OWESTCHESTER FIRE INSURANY,  Defendants	ISK SPECIALTY JNITED STATES NY; MARKEL COMPANY and JRANCE	SPECIALTY IN			
23			I			
24	WHEREAS, by notice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(2) dated June 5, 2007, Plaintiffs					
25	requested that defendant Executive Risk Specialty Insurance Company ("ERSIC") waive formal					
26	service of service of the summons and Complaint herein and ERSIC so agreed to waive formal					
27	service;					
28	WHEREAS, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(3), ERSIC's response to the Complaint is					
	356706 v 1 ERSIC's Stipulation and [Proposed] Orde (No. C 07 2853 SBA					

	Case 4:07-cv-02853-SBA	Document 44	Filed 08/13/2007	Page 2 of 3			
1	due August 6, 2007;						
2	WHEREAS, ERSIC has requested and Plaintiffs have agreed to a 25-day extension of						
3	time for ERSIC to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint;						
4	WHEREAS, no previous extensions of time have been requested or received by ERSIC in						
5	this matter;						
6	WHEREAS, on May 31, 2007 and June 29, 2007, the Court issued Case Management						
7	Scheduling Orders, and thus ERSIC and Plaintiffs agree that the dates set forth in those Orders						
8	remain notwithstanding the 25-day extension of time to respond to the Complaint;						
9	WHEREAS, notwithstanding the absence of a responsive pleading, ERSIC agrees to						
10	comply with all deadlines set by the Court in the Case Management Scheduling Orders;						
11	NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby STIPULATE to and REQUEST that the Court						
12	grant an extension of time, to and including September 4, 2007, for ERSIC to answer or otherwise						
13	respond to the Complaint.						
14	Date: August 3, 2007		Respectfully submitte	d.			
15			ROSS, DIXON & BE				
16			11000, 21101, 00 22	,			
17			/s/ Terrence R. McInnis	cInnis			
18			and				
19			Monique M. Fuentes				
20			Siavash Daniel Rashti	ian			
21			Attorneys for Defenda Specialty Insurance C				
22			specially Insurance C	отрину			
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							

	Case 4:07-cv-02853-SBA	Document 44	Filed 08/13/2007	Page 3 of 3
1				
1 2			HELLER EHRMAN	WHITE & McAULIFFE, LLP
3			/s/ Celia M - Iacks	on
4			<u>/s/ Celia M. Jacks</u> Celia M. Jackson	<u> </u>
5			and	
6			Richard DeNatale Attorneys for Plaintiff	fs LensCrafters, Inc. and
7			EYEXAM of Californi	ia, Inc.
8	IT IS SO ORDERED:			
9			La	<i>A</i> *.
10	Date: August 13, 2007		Hon. Saundra Brown	Armstrong
11			United States District	Court Judge
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25 26				
26 27				
28				
	11			